**3. “The possession of knowledge carries an ethical responsibility.” Evaluate this claim.**

The Ways Of Knowing (WOK) for this question title are:

* Reason
* Emotion

The Areas Of Knowing (AOK) for this question title are:

* Ethics
* Religion
* History

Ideas:

Ethics stems from their religion:

* For this essay I think I could write about: the possession of knowledge carries ethical responsibility because of what people's morals and beliefs are. It also touches on religions because the knowledge that they have has the backing up from their religion, beliefs and morals towards life. It touches on history because the religions were followed many years ago and that is when the books were written about certain religions which people follow up until now. The right and the wrongs about how they live their daily life's it also differs from religion to religion as they have different beliefs. Due to the difference the possession of knowledge is different from people to people but similar within ethnic groups due to their religion beliefs and morals.
* Core values

Examples:

* War- field hospital should you help you’re enemy
* 3 guys round the corner who are going to kill this dictator and one other person knows its goin to happen should he tell the dictator or not.

What to include:

Actual example 1

* <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/magazine-19811823-> this example shows that the surgeon took a oath as being a doctor and would treat everyone even if they were from the opposing side. He said to the people coming in for help that “ this place is a peace place” and I will treat everyone according to the most hurt to the least. The two sides fighting each other did not like this and were looking for him so he escaped the war and went to the US.
* Arguments for this are that his morals were to treat everyone equally and he respected the oath that he took and did what he was suppose to. He helped everyone that came to him for help. He did not do the wrong thing according to his morals, but according to the people of this country he was doing the wrong thing and helping the other side
* Arguments against this would be that he should have not helped the enemies because they were trying to kill his country’s people. He should have not respected the oath and only help the people from his country leaving the rest to die and he shouldn’t have taken his morals into consideration and should have done what he was told by the people of this country.
* In conclusion he did what he thought was right according to his ethics, beliefs and morals, he stuck to what he believed in which, was equality and didn’t see people differently from each other but treated them equally no matter which side they were fighting for.

Actual example 2:

* Hugo Alfredo Tale-Yax- [http://listverse.com/2010/10/09/top-10-real-life-good-samaritans/ -](http://listverse.com/2010/10/09/top-10-real-life-good-samaritans/%20-) in this article Hugo saves a lady from being murdered, instead he gets stabbed and the lady and the killer both run away. Even everyone that passes by his dead body doesn’t call for help and just let him lay there after fifteen minutes firefighters came but it was to late.
* Arguments for
  + Hugo did the right thing by saving the women from the murderer but got stabbed him self according to his morals he did the right thing from saving someone from getting killed but got murdered himself
* Arguments against
  + The women he was trying to save didn’t even help him after he helped her and she ran away, according to anyone’s morals that would be the wrong thing to do, even though Hugo did the right thing to save her. Also all those other people who also walked passed Hugo when they saw him laying there didn’t call for help or even check up on him. Did all those people follow their morals or didn’t they want to do the right thing and help Hugo. Because of the decisions made by all those people Hugo did not survive and died when the firemen got to his rescue fifteen minutes later.
* In conclusion because Hugo did the right thing were all those other people who left him suppose to do the right thing as well or did they do what they morals were and did they follow them. The question is do you do the same thing as what someone did for you which was the right thing or do you do what your morals are which is the wrong thing or do u break your morals this one time and do the same for what someone did for you in this case save your life. Or is what you do the first thing that comes to your mind for the women to run away because the murderer might murder her as well, for the other people because they don’t want to get in the mess with the police of writing what they see and just walk away.

Show arguments for and against

What to research:

* Ideas away from religion- something like the first two examples.

How to write the essay/ what to include

Introduction

* Understanding the question
* Link examples to the areas and ways of knowing

Main Body

* Expand on ideas
* Show arguments for and against using the examples to help

Conclusion

* Answer the question
* Summarize all ideas